

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/823,028	04/13/2004	Amy Lynn Calfee	CALFEE - 01 3365	
7590 09/12/2006		EXAMINER  A, PHI DIEU TRAN		
Matthew W. Baca Dillon & Yudell LLP Suite 2110 8911 N. Capital of Texas Hwy				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3637	
Austin, TX 7	8759		DATE MAILED: 09/12/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	• •	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary						
		10/823,028	CALFEE, AMY LYNN			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Examiner	Art Unit			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Phi D. A	3637			
Per	iod for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the t	onespondence address			
	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Sta	tus					
	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 Ap	<u>oril 2004</u> .				
2	☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dis	position of Claims	•	•			
	4) Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) 1-28 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.	vn from consideration.				
Αp	plication Papers					
	9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicated and accomplicated and accomplicated and accomplicated to accomplicate and accomplicated and accomplicated and accomplicated and accomplicated accomplicated and accomplicated accomplicated accomplicated accomplication accomplicated accomplication accomplica	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Pri	ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document: application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
۸44-	ichment(e)					
1) [	nchment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
2) [ 3) [		Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Pate			

Application/Control Number: 10/823,028 Page 2

Art Unit: 3637

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1-19, drawn to an apparatus, classified in class 254, subclass 67.
  - II. Claims 20-28, drawn to a panel barrier assembly, classified in class 52, subclass202.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions II and I are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the flat panel being distinctively mounted to a wall. The subcombination has separate utility such as a sliding gear device for a tower.

The examiner has required restriction between combination and subcombination inventions. Where applicant elects a subcombination, and claims thereto are subsequently found allowable, any claim(s) depending from or otherwise requiring all the limitations of the allowable subcombination will be examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. See MPEP § 821.04(a). Applicant is advised that if any claim presented in a continuation or divisional application is anticipated by, or includes all the limitations of, a claim that is allowable

Art Unit: 3637

in the present application, such claim may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application.

3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phi D A whose telephone number is 571-272-6864. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

Art Unit: 3637

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on 571-272-6867. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electropic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Phi Dieu Tran A

9/5/06